

US Tax Court: Limited Partners May Be Subject to Self-Employment Tax

December 27, 2023

On November 28, 2023, in [Soroban Capital Partners LP v. Commissioner](#), the US Tax Court denied the taxpayer's motion for summary judgment, holding that whether a limited partner in a state law limited partnership qualifies for the "limited partner" exception from self-employment tax under Internal Revenue Code (IRC) Section 1402(a)(13) depends on the functions and roles of the limited partner. Concluding that Congress clearly intended the limited partner exception to apply "only to a limited partner who is functioning as a limited partner," the Tax Court held that the limited partner exception in IRC Section 1402(a)(13) does not apply to a limited partner "who is limited in name only."

The IRC [imposes a tax](#) on the self-employment income of individuals. This includes [gross income derived from any trade or business](#), less allowable deductions attributable to such trade or business, plus the distributive share (whether or not distributed) of income or loss from any trade or business carried on by a partnership of which such individual is a member. However, IRC Section 1402(a)(13) provides an exception for "the distributive share of any item of income or loss of a limited partner, **as such**" (emphasis added here and below), other than guaranteed payments for services provided to or on behalf of the partnership. Although proposed US Treasury Department regulations exclude partners who significantly participate in a partnership's trade or business as "limited partners" for this purpose¹, the proposed Treasury regulations were never issued in final or temporary form – and neither Congress nor the Treasury Department has defined "limited partner, as such" as used in the statute.

Before *Soroban*, the [IRS successfully challenged](#) the application of the limited partner exception to active owners of various types of pass-through entities, including partners in a limited liability partnership, in cases before the Tax Court; however, these cases did not involve limited partners in state law limited partnerships, leaving open the question of whether limited partners in such entities are eligible for the exception by virtue of the label "limited partner" accorded to them under state law. The Tax Court in *Soroban* addressed this question, finding that a limited partner's role and activities, and not its status as a limited partner in a state law limited partnership, determines whether the limited partner exception to the self-employment tax applies. The Tax Court held that a functional analysis must be applied, and it concluded that Congress drafted the limited partner exclusion to refer to "limited partners, **as such**" to distinguish limited partners in practice from limited partners in name only.

Given the ambiguity in the statute and the prior absence of direct case law, many limited partners of management companies formed as state law limited partnerships historically relied on a literal reading of the limited partner exception to exclude their distributive shares of management company income from the self-employment tax. Following the Tax Court's decision in *Soroban* – and in light of the recent heightened focus on partnerships in the IRS's tax enforcement efforts – investment managers with limited partners claiming the limited partner exception from self-employment tax should apply a functional analysis to the limited partners' roles and activities to determine whether the exception applies. Further developments are expected in this area of law, which we will continue to monitor. Please contact a member of Cooley's tax team with any questions about the Tax Court's decision in *Soroban* and the implications that it may have for your management company.

Note

1. Proposed Treasury regulation Section 1.1402(a)-2. The proposed Treasury regulations exclude a partner

who participated in the partnership's trade or business for more than 500 hours during the partnership's taxable year from the definition of "limited partner" for this purpose, along with partners who have personal liability for partnership debts, and partners who have the authority to contractually bind the partnership.

This content is provided for general informational purposes only, and your access or use of the content does not create an attorney-client relationship between you or your organization and Cooley LLP, Cooley (UK) LLP, or any other affiliated practice or entity (collectively referred to as "Cooley"). By accessing this content, you agree that the information provided does not constitute legal or other professional advice. This content is not a substitute for obtaining legal advice from a qualified attorney licensed in your jurisdiction and you should not act or refrain from acting based on this content. This content may be changed without notice. It is not guaranteed to be complete, correct or up to date, and it may not reflect the most current legal developments. Prior results do not guarantee a similar outcome. Do not send any confidential information to Cooley, as we do not have any duty to keep any information you provide to us confidential. This content may be considered **Attorney Advertising** and is subject to our [legal notices](#).

Key Contacts

Eileen Marshall Washington, DC	emarshall@cooley.com +1 202 728 7083
Stephanie Gentile New York	sgentile@cooley.com +1 212 479 6531
Paul Roberts San Francisco	proberts@cooley.com +1 415 693 2025
Amanda Pacheco Palo Alto	apacheco@cooley.com +1 650 843 5957

This information is a general description of the law; it is not intended to provide specific legal advice nor is it intended to create an attorney-client relationship with Cooley LLP. Before taking any action on this information you should seek professional counsel.

Copyright © 2023 Cooley LLP, 3175 Hanover Street, Palo Alto, CA 94304; Cooley (UK) LLP, 22 Bishopsgate, London, UK EC2N 4BQ. Permission is granted to make and redistribute, without charge, copies of this entire document provided that such copies are complete and unaltered and identify Cooley LLP as the author. All other rights reserved.